

A SIMPLE PROOF OF A THEOREM ON ($2n$)-WEAK AMENABILITY *

M. S. Moslehian

F. Negahban

Abstract. A simple proof of ($2n$)-weak amenability of the triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{A} \\ 0 & \mathcal{A} \end{bmatrix}$ is given where $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital C^* -algebra.

*2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46H25, 46L57.

Key words and phrases. Triangular Banach algebra, n -weak amenability.

1. Introduction

The topological cohomology groups provide us some significant information about Banach algebras such as their amenability, contractibility, stability, and singular extensions.[3]

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are unital Banach algebras. $\mathcal{M}$ is a unital Banach $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -module whenever it is simultaneously a Banach space, a left $\mathcal{A}$ -module and a right $\mathcal{B}$ -module satisfying $a(mb) = (am)b$, $1_{\mathcal{A}}m = m1_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\| axb \| \leq \| a \| \| x \| \| b \|$. Then $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} ; a \in \mathcal{A}, m \in \mathcal{M}, b \in \mathcal{B} \right\}$ with the usual 2×2 matrix addition and formal multiplication equipped with the norm $\| \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \| = \| a \| + \| m \| + \| b \|$ is said to be a triangular Banach algebra.

Note that the dual $\mathcal{M}^*$ of $\mathcal{M}$ together with the actions $(\phi a)(x) = \phi(ax)$ and $(b\phi)(x) = \phi(xb)$ is a Banach $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{A}$ -module. Similarly the $(2n)$ -th dual $\mathcal{M}^{(2n)}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is a Banach $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -module and the $(2n - 1)$ -th dual $\mathcal{M}^{(2n-1)}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is a Banach $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{A}$ -module. In particular, $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ is a Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule.

The notion of n -weak amenability was introduced by Dales, Ghahramani and Gronbæck [1]. If the first topological cohomology group $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(n)})$, i.e the quotient $\{\delta : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(n)}; \delta \text{ is linear and } \delta(ab) = a\delta(b) - \delta(a)b\} \text{ modulo } \{\delta_x : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(n)}; \delta_x(a) = ax - xa, a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{A}^{(n)}\}$ is zero, then $\mathcal{A}$ is called n -weakly amenable. If for all n , $\mathcal{A}$ is n -weakly amenable, $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be permanently weakly amenable. For example, every C^* -algebra is permanently weakly amenable [1, Theorem 3.1].

Forrest and Marcoux investigated a relation between n -weak amenability of triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix}$ and those of algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. In particular, they proved permanently weak amenability of the triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{A} \\ 0 & \mathcal{A} \end{bmatrix}$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is a C^* -algebra.

In this paper we give a simple proof of the $(2n)$ -weak amenability of the Banach algebra

$$\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{A} \\ 0 & \mathcal{A} \end{bmatrix} \text{ in which } \mathcal{A} \text{ is a } C^*\text{-algebra. [2, Proposition 4.5]}$$

2. Main Result

We start by reviewing some results on $(2n - 1)$ -weak amenability of triangular Banach algebras:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital Banach algebras and $\mathcal{M}$ be a unital Banach $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -module. Let $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix}$ be the corresponding triangular Banach algebra. Let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$H^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{(2n-1)}) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n-1)}) \oplus H^1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}^{(2n-1)}).$$

It follows that $\mathcal{T}$ is $(2n - 1)$ -weakly amenable if and only if both $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are. [2, Theorem 3.7]

Corollary 2.2. *$\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{A} \\ 0 & \mathcal{A} \end{bmatrix}$ is $(2n - 1)$ -weakly amenable if $\mathcal{A}$ is a C^* -algebra.*

Proof. Apply above theorem and permanently weak amenability of $\mathcal{A}$ [1, Theorem 3.1].

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Banach $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -module and $\rho_{x,y} : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{(2n)}$ be defined by $\rho_{x,y}(m) = xm - my$, where $x \in \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}$, $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and $y \in \mathcal{B}^{(2n)}$. Recall that if $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra, so is $\mathcal{A}^{(2n)}$ equipped with the (first) Arens product $\Gamma_1 \circ \Gamma_2 = w^* - \lim_i \lim_j a_i a_j$ where $\{a_i\}$ and $\{a_j\}$ are nets in $\mathcal{A}^{(2n-2)}$ converging in weak*-topology to $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \in \mathcal{A}^{(2n-2)}$, respectively. We could therefore define the centralizer of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{A}^{(2n)}$ as $Z_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^{(2n)}) = \{x \in \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}; xa = ax \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and the central Rosenblum operator on $\mathcal{M}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{M}^{(2n)}$ as $ZR_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{(2n)}) = \{\rho_{x,y}; x \in Z_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^{(2n)}), y \in Z_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}^{(2n)})\}$. The later space is clearly a subspace of $Hom_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{(2n)}) = \{\phi : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{(2n)}; \phi(amb) = a\phi(m)b, \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}, m \in \mathcal{M}, b \in \mathcal{B}\}$.

The following theorem play a key role in the subject:

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital Banach algebras and $\mathcal{M}$ be a unital Banach $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -module. Let $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix}$ be the corresponding triangular Banach algebra. If n is a positive integer and both $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are $(2n)$ -weakly amenable, then*

$$H^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{(2n)}) = H^1(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{(2n)})/ZR_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{(2n)}).$$

We are ready to give our proof of Proposition 4.5 of [2]:

Theorem 2.6. *$\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{A} \\ 0 & \mathcal{A} \end{bmatrix}$ is $(2n)$ -weakly amenable if $\mathcal{A}$ is a C^* -algebra.*

Proof. For each n , the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}^{(2n)}$ is unital with the unit denoted by $1_{\mathcal{A}^{(2n)}}$. Clearly $ZR_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n)})$. For the converse, assume that $\phi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n)})$. Since $\phi(a) = \phi(a.1.1) = a\phi(1)$ and $\phi(a) = \phi(1.1.a) = \phi(1)a$, we have $\phi(1) \in Z_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^{(2n)})$ and $1_{\mathcal{A}^{(2n)}} - \phi(1) \in Z_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^{(2n)})$. Hence $\phi(a) = 1_{\mathcal{A}^{(2n)}}.a - 1_{\mathcal{A}^{(2n)}}.a + \phi(a) = \rho_{1_{\mathcal{A}^{(2n)}}, 1_{\mathcal{A}^{(2n)}} - \phi(1)}(a)$. It follows that $\phi = \rho_{1_{\mathcal{A}^{(2n)}}, 1_{\mathcal{A}^{(2n)}} - \phi(1)} \in ZR_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n)})$. Therefore $ZR_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n)})$. Applying Theorem 2.5, we conclude that

$$H^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{(2n)}) = H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n)})/ZR_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}) = 0.$$

References

- [1] Dales, H. G.; Ghahramani, F.; Gronbæk, N., Derivations into iterated duals of Banach algebras, *Studia Math* 128 (1998), no. 1, 19-54.
- [2] Forrest, B. E.; Marcoux, L. W., Weak amenability of triangular Banach algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 354 (2002), no. 4, 1435-1452.
- [3] Helemskii, A. Y., *The homology of Banach and topological algebras*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989.